

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,409	08/19/2003	Ronald Reginald Burgess	M03A201 3444	
7590 11/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Ira Lee Zebrak The BOC Group, Inc. Legal Services - Intellectual Property 100 Mountain Avenue			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
Murray Hill, N	J 07974		DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/643,409	BURGESS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Se					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11,22-28,34-36,42-48 and 55-57</u> is/ Claim(s) <u>12-21, 29-33, 37-41, 49-54, and 58-66</u> Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. are rejected. 2 is/are objected to.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	r(e)					
1) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,409

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and remarks filed 9/1/05 have been acknowledged and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph stated in paper No.062005 has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 22-28, 34-36, 42-48 and 55-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weldon et al. (US 2003/0116276 A1) in combination with Tan (6,810,887).

Weldon et al. disclose a method for removing a metallic layer formed from at least one metal from the surface of a ceramic substrate. The method comprises the step of immersing the ceramic substrate coated with metallic layer in an acid solution comprising 37% hydrochloric acid for a time to substantially remove the metallic layer therefrom. See paragraphs 7, 31-34, and 54. The references disclose the metallic layer comprises an aluminum coating and a tantalum overlaying the aluminum coating. See paragraphs 54-55. The reference also discloses the step of annealing the ceramic substrate at an elevated temperature after the immersing step. See paragraphs 44, 54.

Art Unit: 1746

The limitation of claims 6-7, 28, 36, 48, and 57 are disclosed on page 4, paragraph 44.

The reference discloses the immersion time as claimed, see paragraphs 31-34, 44, and 54.

Weldon et al. do not teach the acid concentration is about 31%, and the ratio between water, nitric acid, and hydrofluoric acid, the drying time and temperature as claimed.

Tan discloses a method for cleaning semiconductor fabrication equipment parts. The reference discloses typically, in the prior art, relatively high concentrations of acids and other cleaning agents were used to clean parts. For example, a typical acid bath for quartz cleaning would include 1 part HF, 1 part HNO3, and 1 part H2O. See col. 2, lines 20-23.

It would have been obvious at the time applicants invented the claimed process to adjust the concentration of the HCL to obtain optimum results. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the acids ratio as taught by Tan in the Weldon et al. process to obtain the claimed process, and because it is well known in the art to use acids ratio as claimed. See Tan, col. 2, lines 20-23. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the drying step, and adjust the drying temperature and time to improve the cleaning process.

This rejection stated in paper No. 062005 is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,409 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 12-21, 29-33, 37-41, 49-54, and 58-62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record failed to teach the ramping step comprises heating to a first temperature -----; maintaining the first temperature for about an hour; heating to a second temperature-----; heating to a third temperature-----; maintaining the third temperature-----; and allowing to cool to a forth temperature-----, as is now claimed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 09/01/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argument with respect to Weldon et al. and Tan do not teach the concentration of the HCL as claimed, is not persuasive, because one skilled in the art would adjust the concentration to obtain optimum results. This is because the discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable is generally considered to be within the skill of the art. See In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215. Applicants' argument with respect to the Weldon et al. and Tan teach solutions to different problems is unpersuasive, because roughening the surface taught by Weldon et al. including treating the surface with acidic solution to remove metallic layer, and cleaning the surface taught by Tan including treating the surface with acidic solution. This is also because HCL is known in the art to remove metallic impurities from a surface of a substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,409

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,409 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE 11/01/05